

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Application in light of the Office Action dated May 31, 2007. Claims 1-30 are pending. Claims 1-30 are rejected. Claims 1, 13, and 24 have been amended. Applicant submits that no new matter was added by these amendments. For the reasons provided below, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable over the cited references. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this case.

Section 101 Rejections

The Office Action rejects claims 24-30 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 24 has been amended to state what was implicit that the computer program is encoded in media. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully request withdrawal of this rejection.

Section 103 Rejections

The Office Action rejects Claims 1, 4-5, 9-10, 12-13, 16-17, 21, 23-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,067,625 ("*Ryu*") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,601,175 ("*Arnold*"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections and the assertions and holdings therein.

Amended independent claim 1 recites, "displaying the coded password to the user of the computer system prior to the user knowing the password, wherein the user can receive the generated password by providing the coded password to a remote password provider." In particular, the coded password is presented to the user prior to the user knowing the password. Claims 13 and 24 recite similar limitations. The combination of *Ryu* and *Arnold* merely teach displaying an encrypted password that the user has forgotten, *i.e.*, a password the user previously knew. In particular, *Arnold* teaches that the user's password is stored in the CMOS, and in response to entering an identification number, the system encrypts the user's forgotten password and displays the encrypted password. Col. 2, lines 30-42. Therefore, the combination merely

teaches displaying an encrypted password forgotten by the user, *not* prior to the user knowing the password. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of claims 1, 13, and 24 and their dependents.

CONCLUSION

Applicants have now made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons, and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicants respectfully request full allowance of all Claims.

If the present application is not allowed and/or if one or more of the rejections is maintained, Applicants hereby request a telephone conference with the Examiner and further request that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney to schedule the telephone conference.

No fees are believed to be due. However, please apply any deficiencies or any other required fees or any credits to deposit account 06-1050, referencing the attorney docket number shown above.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 29, 2007

/Michael E. Cox/

Michael E. Cox
Reg. No. 47,505

Customer No.: **26231**
Fish & Richardson P.C.

Direct Phone: (214) 760-6107
Fax: (214) 747-2091